



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,707	06/20/2001	Arnoldus Werner Johannes Oomen	NL 000332	4224
24737	7590 11/16/2005	EXAMINER		
	TELLECTUAL PROF	OPSASNICK,	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	01 F MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	ntion No.	Applicant(s)				
			ation No.		V			
		09/885	5,707	OOMEN ET AL.	<u> </u>			
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			I N. Opsasnick	2655				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu	ınication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	iress			
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU ensions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cole period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply an oly will, by statute, cause the s after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirt d will expire SIX (6) MON' application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 29 August 20	005.					
, ,	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is						
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4\\ \ \\	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.						
1763	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to rest	nction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
,	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any ob							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi				R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priori		een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have b	een received in A	pplication No				
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority docu	ıments have been	received in this National S	Stage			
•	application from the Interna	tional Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).					
*	See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the c	ertified copies not	received.				
	•							
Attachme			4 .□ - · · ·	Number (BTO 442)				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date		5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)			

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gersho et al</u> (6475245) in view of <u>McAulay et al (5054072)</u>.

As per claims 1,6,9, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches an apparatus and method:

"determining frequency......amplitude information" as sinusoidal based encoders including frequency information (col. 4 lines 16-20, lines 60-64).

"characterized.....parameters" as phase synchronization information into the transition and voiced coder (Fig. 4a, subblocks 30 and 32).

Although Gersho et al discusses transmitting parameters that are used to recalculate the phase information (col. 15 line 50 – col. 16 line 10), the transmitted parameters themselves, although directly used to recalculate the phase, are not explicit phase parameters per se. McAulay et al (5054072), however, teaches the transmission of

Art Unit: 2655

explicit phase parameters (McAulay et al (5054072), Fig. 1, subblock 30 and 44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of audio encoding/decoding to modify the phase calculation portion of Gersho et al (6475245) with the phase information calculation and transmission as taught by McAulay et al (5054072) because that particular phase calculation technique could accurately capture the random noise-like quality deviation present during unvoiced speech (McAulay et al (5054072), col. 8 lines 66-68),

As per claim 2, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"phase jitter.....track" as initialized phase information (col. 15 lines 34-37; and tracked via equation 12).

As per claim 3, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"phase jitter.....frequencies" as generating phase information by calculating a difference between the transition frame and test frame (col. 15 lines 33-40).

As per claim 4, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"determining.....said difference" as deriving the lag via a correlation calculation between current phase and initial phase (col. 15 lines 35-59).

As per claims 5,7, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

Art Unit: 2655

"receiving....component" as receiving the sinusoidal information (fig. 5, subblocks 104,106, and 108).

"restoring....parameters" as LP synthesis reconstructed speech (fig. 5, subblock 120).

"characterized in that....jitter parameter" as receiving the phase sync parameter (Fig. 5, subblock 1222 + col. 16 lines 45-65).

As per claims 8,11, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches a audio coder as speech compression and transmission (so that the speech can be re-generated or 're-played' at the decoder end -- col. 3 lines 50-67).

As per claim 10, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches storing the signal (col. 3 lines 60-61).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims filed 8/29/05 have been fully considered but are found to be not persuasive. As per applicant's comments regarding the differential between the 2 previous office actions, examiner notes that the introduction of the McAulay reference is to address the appropriate claim limitations pertaining to phase parameters.

(Examiner does not understand what applicant is referring to in the comment with respect to a typo). Examiner notes that the version of the claimed phase jitter parameter (that applicant is arguing) is further defined in the specification as a preferred embodiment; examiner reminds

Art Unit: 2655

applicant that the examiner reviews the claim scope with the broadest reasonable interpretation. To define the phrase "phase jitter parameter" to include the preferred definition of such is not allowed [limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).]. Examiner argues that the currently claimed phase jitter parameter can be read upon by McAulay's transmitted residual phase parameters. The Gersho reference is introduced as to being most applicable to applicant's disclosure, the McAulay reference is introduced to teach the transmission of phase parameters, and that the motivation to combine the two is to take advantage of noise deviations that occur during transmission, mimicking the characteristics of unvoiced speech parameters (as noted by McAulay, col. 8 lines 66-68).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2655

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W. R. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

mno 11/12/05